

**PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT
ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:**

**MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY
AS REPRESENTED BY THE
MUNICIPAL MANAGER:**

MAKHOSANA MSEZANA

AND

**EXECUTIVE MANAGER: INTEGRATED
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT:**

MADIKANA THENGA

**THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MUNICIPALITY
FOR THE
FINANCIAL YEAR: 01 JULY 2024 TO 30 JUNE 2025**

2024/2025 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between the Municipal Manager, Makhosana Msezana and the Integrated Environmental Management: Executive Manager: Madikana Thenga



Mogale City

Local Municipality

MM
MT
KR
KV
DKC

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN

MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY herein represented by **Makhosana Msezana** as the **Municipal Manager** (hereinafter referred to as the Employer or Supervisor)

and

Madikana Thenga as the **Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management** (hereinafter referred to as the Employee).

WHEREBY IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in Terms of section 57(1)(a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as the "the Parties".
- 1.2 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved and secure the commitment of the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure local government policy goals.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that there is compliance with Sections 57(4A), 57(4B), 57(C) and 57(5) of the Systems Act, Local Government: Municipal Performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers directly accountable to Municipal Manager, 2006 & Local Government: Competency Framework for Senior Managers, 2014.

2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement is to –

- 2.1 Comply with the Contract of Employment entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 Specify objectives and targets established for the Employee and to communicate to the Employee the Employer's expectations of the Employee's performance expectations and accountabilities;

MM
DK
MT
KV
ICK

- 2.3 Specify accountabilities as set out in the Performance Management Plan (Annexure A);
- 2.4 Monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs;
- 2.5 Use the Performance Management Agreement and Performance Management Plan as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his job;
- 2.6 Appropriately reward the Employee in accordance with the Employer's performance management policy in the event of outstanding performance; and
- 2.7 Give effect to the Employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with the Employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 This Agreement will commence on the **01 JULY 2024** and will remain in force until **30 JUNE 2025**; where after a new Performance Management Agreement, Performance Management Plan and Personal Development Plan shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof.
- 3.2 The parties will review the provisions of the Agreement during February each year. The parties will conclude a new Performance Management Agreement and Performance Management Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later 31 July 2024.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the Employee's contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above- mentioned period to determine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement the work environment alters (whether as a result of government or council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.

4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

- 4.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) sets out-
 - 4.1.1 The performance objectives and targets that must be met by the Employee; and

- 4.1.2 The time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
- 4.2 The performance objectives and targets reflected in Annexure A are set by the Employer in consultation with the Employee and based on the Integrated Development Plan and the Budget of the Employer, and shall include key objectives; key performance indicators; target and weightings.
- 4.3 The key objectives describe the main tasks that need to be done. The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. The target dates describe the timeframe within which the work must be achieved. The weightings show the relative importance of the key objectives to each other (section 26(6) of the Performance Regulation, 2006).
- 4.4 The Employee's performance will, in addition, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's Integrated Development Plan.

5 PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.1 The Employee agrees to participate in the performance management system that the Employer adopts or introduces for the Employer, management and municipal staff of the Employer.
- 5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system will be to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the Employer, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult the Employee about the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.

6 THE EMPLOYEE AGREES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM THAT THE EMPLOYER ADOPTS

- 6.1 The Employee undertakes to actively focus on the promotion and implementation of the KPAs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the local government framework.
- 6.2 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the performance agreement.

6.2.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas (KPAs) and the Competency Requirements (CRs) (Leading & Core Competencies) respectively.

6.2.2 Each area of assessment will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score.

6.2.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work will account for 80 weighting and CRs will account for 20 weighting of the final assessment.


6.3 The Employee's assessment will be based on his performance in terms of the outputs/outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per attached Performance Plan (Annexure A), which are linked to the KPAs, and will constitute 80 weighting of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the employer and Employee:

KEY PERFORMANCE AREAS (KPA'S)	WEIGHTING
Good Governance and Public Participation	15%
Municipal Transformation and Organizational Development	10%
Infrastructure Development and Service Delivery	40%
Local Economic Development	15%
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	20%
Total	100%

6.4 The Employee's assessment will be based on his performance in terms of the outputs/outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per attached Performance Plan (Annexure A), which are linked to the KPAs, and will constitute 80% weighting of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed between the Employer and Employee.

The six (6) core competencies that act as drivers to ensure that the leading competencies are executed at an optimal level.

LEADING COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS		WEIGHTS %
Strategic Direction and Leadership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact and influence • Institutional Performance Management • Strategic Planning and Management • Organizational Awareness 	20%
Program & Project Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program & Project Planning and Implementation • Service Delivery Management • Program & Project Monitoring & Evaluation 	25%

Page 5


LEADING COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS		WEIGHTS %
Financial Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget Planning & Execution Financial Strategy & Delivery Financial Reporting & Monitoring 	15%
Change Leadership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change Vision & Strategy Process Design & Improvement Change Impact Monitoring & Evaluation 	20%
Governance Leadership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy Formulation Risk and Compliance Management Cooperative Governance 	20%
CORE COMPETENCIES		
Moral Competence		
Planning and Organizing		
Analysis and Innovation		
Knowledge and Information Management		
Communication		
Result and Quality Focus		
TOTAL		100%

7 EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 7.1 The Performance Management Plan (Annexure A) to this Agreement sets out-
- 7.1.1 The standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
- 7.1.2 The intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 7.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force.
- 7.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 7.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP.
- 7.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:
- 7.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance Plan:

MM
WJ

DAL

MT
KV
KIK

- (a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA;
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each KPA;
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final KPA score.

7.5.2 Assessment of the CCRs

- (a) Each CCR should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met;
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each CR;
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CCR score.

7.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

7.6 The assessment of the performance of the Employee will be based on the following rating scale for KPAs and CRs:

Overall Performance	Rating	Performance Score
<p>Unacceptable Performance</p> <p>Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage improvement.</p>	1	59% and below
<p>Performance Not Fully Effective</p> <p>Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job.</p>	2	60 – 69 %

WAB man

DAL

*MT
KV
KIK*

Overall Performance	Rating	Performance Score
Performance Fully Effective Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job	3	70 – 79 %
Performance Significantly Above Expectations / Exceptional Performance Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job.	4	80 – 89 %
Outstanding Performance Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level.	5	90 – 100 %




7.7 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of the Employee, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established –

- i. Municipal Manager;
- ii. Chairperson of the Performance Audit Committee
- iii. Member of the Mayoral Committee; and
- iv. Municipal Manager from another Municipality.

8 SCHEDULES FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

8.1 The performance of each Employee in relation to his or her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

Quarter	Timeline	Date of the Review
First Quarter	July – September 2024	07 November 2024
Second Quarter	October – December 2024	07 February 2025
Third Quarter	January – March 2025	06 May 2025
Fourth Quarter	April – June 2025	20 August 2025

- 8.2 The Employer shall keep a record of all formal and informal reviews, including the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings.
- 8.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance.
- 8.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of Annexure "A" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.
- 8.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of Annexure "A" whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and/or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

9 DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Annexure B.

10. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

10.1 The Employer shall:–

- 10.1.1 Create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the Employee;
- 10.1.2 Provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
- 10.1.3 Work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee;
- 10.1.4 On the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
- 10.1.5 Make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this agreement.

MM
WJF
DAL
MT
KV
KC

11 CONSULTATION

11.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the powers will have amongst others –

11.1.1 A direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee’s functions;

11.1.2 Commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer; and

11.1.3 A substantial financial effect on the Employer.

11.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 11.1 as soon as is practicable to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay.

12. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

12.1 The evaluation of the Employee’s performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.

12.2 A performance bonus of 5% to 14% of the inclusive annual remuneration package may be paid to the Employee in recognition of performance and the amount payable would be calculated on the following basis:

Performance Rating		Bonus Amount
1.	0% - 59%	Performance Unacceptable
2.	60% - 69%	Performance Not Fully Effective
3.	70% - 79%	Performance Fully Effective
4.	80% - 89%	Performance Significantly Above Expectations/ Exceptional Performance
5.	90% - 100%	Outstanding Performance

12.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall –

12.3.1 provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his performance; and

12.3.2 after appropriate counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his duties.

13 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

13.1. Any disputes about the nature of the Employee’s performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provide for, shall be mediated by –

13.1.1 The Executive Mayor within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or

13.1.2 Any other person appointed by the Executive Mayor.

13.2 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 19.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

14 GENERAL

14.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.

14.2 Nothing in this agreement diminished the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.

Thus done and signed at HEUGERSDORP on this the 25th day of July, 2024.

AS WITNESSES:

- 1. [Signature]
- 2. [Signature]

[Signature]
**EXECUTIVE MANAGER: INTEGRATED
ENVIROMENTAL MANAGEMENT**

Thus done and signed at Heugersdorp on this the 31 day of July, 2024.

AS WITNESSES:

- 1. [Signature]
- 2. [Signature]

[Signature]
MUNICIPAL MANAGER

[Handwritten initials]

National Outcome 9 Responsive accountable effective and efficient local government system												
Chapter 3: Economy and Employment, Chapter 4: Economic Infrastructure and Chapter 8: Transforming Human Settlements												
To foster a conducive environment for broadbased economic development												
DEPARTMENT: INTEGRATED ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT												
KPA: SERVICE DELIVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT - 40%												
PLANNING LEVEL	MSCOA PROJECT	WARD TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASELINE	ANNUAL TARGET	QUARTER	PROGRAMME / PROJECT MILESTONE	TYPE OF EVIDENCE PER QUARTER	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	RESPONSIBLE PERSON
Executive Manager Output	Refuse removal in informal settlement	All Wards	Number of informal settlements provided with refuse removal services	15%	Number	19	19	Q1	19	Q1 - Q4 List of settlements with GPS coordinates and Councilor/Ward representative verification service confirmation	1= 59% and below delivery of solid waste removal services against target set.	Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management
								Q2	19		2= 60% to 69% of solid waste removal services against target set	
								Q3	19		3= 70% to 79% of solid waste removal services against target set	
											4= 80% to 89% of solid waste removal services against target set	
								Q4	19		5= 90% to 100% of solid waste removal services against target set	
Executive Manager Output	Service Delivery	All Wards	% SDBIP projects successfully implemented within projected timeframes and budget.	25%	%	100%	100%	Q1	100%	Q1-Q4 SDBIP Quarterly Performance reports from Monitoring & Evaluation Division	1=59% and below achievement against target set	Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management
								Q2	100%		2=60-69% achievement against target set	
								Q3	100%		3=70-79% achievement against target set	
								Q4	100%		4=80-89% achievement against target set	
										5=90-100% achievement against target set		

MT
KJ
KIK
DCC

WMA

PLANNING LEVEL	MSCOA PROJECT	WARD TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASELINE	ANNUAL TARGET	QUARTER	PROGRAMME / PROJECT MILESTONE	TYPE OF EVIDENCE PER QUARTER	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	RESPONSIBLE PERSON
KPA: GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION: 15%												
Executive Manager Output	AG Audit Action Plans	All Wards	% implementation of AG Audit Action plans	7.5%	%	100%	100%	Q1	-	Q3-Q4: Internal Audit assessed OPCA pane	1= Less than 70% implemented	Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management
								Q2	-		2= 70%-84% implemented	
								Q3	85%		3= 85% implemented	
								Q4	100%		4= 86%-95% implemented	
											5= 96%-100% implemented	
Executive Manager Output	Risk Management	All Wards	% implementation of departmental mitigation actions on the Strategic Risk Register	7.5%	%	100%	100%	Q1	-	Q1-Q4: Strategic Risk Report	1= Less than 80% implemented	Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management
								Q2	-		2= 80%-99% implement	
								Q3	100%		3= 100% implemented	
								Q4	100%		4= 100% implemented one month before quarter end	
											5= 100% implemented two(2) months before quarter end	

MT
 KU
 KK
 DAL

man
 WWA

PLANNING LEVEL	MSCOA PROJECT	WARD TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASELINE	ANNUAL TARGET	QUARTER	PROGRAMME / PROJECT MILESTONE	TYPE OF EVIDENCE PER QUARTER	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	RESPONSIBLE PERSON
KPA: FINANCIAL VIABILITY: 20%												
Executive Manager Output	Revenue	All Wards	Total rand value generated through cemeteries and refuse collection	10%	Rand value	New target	R132 million	Q1	-	Annual Departmental Revenue Report	1= 59% and below total rand value generated through cemeteries	Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management
								Q2	-		2= 60% - 69% total rand value generated through cemeteries	
								Q3	-		3= 70% - 79% total rand value generated through cemeteries	
								Q4	R132 000 000		4= 80% - 89% total rand value generated through cemeteries	
											5= 90% - 100% total rand value generated through cemeteries	
Executive Manager Output	Revenue Generation	All Wards	Rand value of external funding sourced	10%	Rand value	New target	R20 million	Q1	-	Proof of revenue collected	1= Less than R10 million sourced	Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management
								Q2	-		2= More than R10 but less than R15 Million sourced	
								Q3	-		3= R20 million sourced	
								Q4	R20 000 000		4= More than R20 million but less than R25 million sourced	
											5= More than R25 million sourced	

MT
KW
KK
DPA

AMM

PLANNING LEVEL	MISCOA PROJECT	WARD TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASILINE	ANNUAL TARGET	QUARTER	PROGRAMME / PROJECT MILESTONE	TYPE OF EVIDENCE PER QUARTER	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	RESPONSIBLE PERSON
KPA: LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT 15%												
Executive Manager: Output	Employment Opportunities	All wards	No. of employment opportunities created	15%	Number	New Target	679	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	679 - - -	List of Names: Register, 2x Samples of contracts with ID copies, samples of attendance registers	1=59% and below achievement against target set 2= 60-69% achievement against target set 3= 70-79% achievement against target set 4= 80-90% achievement against target set 5=90-100% achievement against target set	Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management
KPA: MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT: 10%												
Executive Manager: Output	Performance Management	All Wards	Number of Managers performance review sessions conducted	5%	Number	2	2	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	1 - - 1	Q2 & Q4: Minutes of assessments	1= No review sessions concluded 2= 1 review session concluded 3= 2 review sessions concluded 4= Review sessions concluded, 50% of Managers achieved 90% of their planned target 5= Review sessions concluded, 50% of Managers achieved 100% of their planned target	Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management
Executive Manager: Output	Labour disputes	All Wards	% of labour disputes (grievances) finalised internally	5%	%	TBC	100%	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	- - - 100%	Signed grievance form and Grievance meeting / response	1= Less than 80% implemented 2= 80%-99% implement 3= 100% implemented 4= 100% implemented one month before quarter end 5= 100% implemented two(2) months before quarter end	Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management
Total = 100%												

Signed and Accepted by the Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management


Madikana Thenga



Date: 25/01/2024

Approved by the Municipal Manager

Makhosana Msezana



Date:

3/07/2024

MT
KM
LK

CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES	WEIGHTING	KPI	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	EVIDENCE
Strategic Direction & Leadership	20	No. of Individual Performance Management Agreements signed with Managers by 31 August 2024	1= 4 Performance Management Agreements signed after the 30/09/2024	Three (3) 2024/2025 Performance Management Agreements signed with Managers
			2= 4 Performance Management Agreements signed after the 15/09/2024	
			3= 4 Performance Management Agreements signed by the 31/08/2024	
			4= 4 Performance Management Agreements signed by the 20/08/2024	
			5= 4 Performance Management Agreements signed by the 10/08/2024	
Program and Project Management	25	% Implementation of the Service Delivery Budget Implementation Plan	1= 59% and below implementation of the SDBIP	SDBIP Quarterly Performance Reports from Monitoring and Evaluation Division
			2= 60-69% implementation of the SDBIP	
			3= 70-79% of implementation of the SDBIP	
			4= 80-89% implementation of the SDBIP	
			5= 90-100% implementation of the SDBIP	

Man WAF

*WAF
EJC
DAL KU*


CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES	WEIGHTING	KPI	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	EVIDENCE
Financial Management	5	% Reduction of Unauthorized Irregular Fruitless (UIF) expenditure	1= 0 - 54% reduction on the UIWFE	1 July 2024 to 30 June 2025 In-year monitoring report
			2= 55 -64% reduction on the UIWFE	
			3= 65 - 74% reduction on the UIWFE	
4= 75 - 89% reduction on the UIWFE				
5= 90 - 100% reduction including no UIWFE expenditure incurred				
Financial Management	5	% of opex budget spent at the end of financial year	1= 92% Spent	1 July 2024 to 30 June 2025 In-year monitoring report
			2= 94% Spent	
			3= 96% Spent	
			4= 98% Spent	
			5= 100% Spent	
Change Leadership	5	% of funded capital budget spent at the end of financial year	1= 92% Spent	1 July 2024 to 30 June 2025 In-year monitoring report
			2= 94% Spent	
			3= 96% Spent	
			4= 98% Spent	
			5= 100% Spent	
Change Leadership	10	Number of monthly management meetings held per year	1= 4 meetings	Agenda and Attendance Register of departmental meetings
			2= 6 meetings	
			3= 8 meetings	
			4= 10 meetings	
			5= 12 meetings	

WMT
WMT
WMT
WMT
DPC

WMT
WMT
WMT

CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES	WEIGHTING	KPI	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	EVIDENCE
Governance Leadership	10	Number of quarterly staff meetings	1= No meeting 2= 1 meetings 3= 2 meetings 4= 3 meetings 5= 4 meetings	Agenda and Attendance Register of departmental meetings
	10	Revision and Development of Policies	1=59% and Below Implementation 2=60-69% Implementation 3=70-79% Implementation 4=80-89% Implementation 5=90-100% Implementation	Proof of inputs provided (emails submissions) Revised and Developed Policies vs Policies submitted to Council in the 2024/2025 financial year
	10	% implementation of departmental mitigation actions on the Operational Risk	1=59% and Below Implementation 2=60-69% Implementation 3=70-79% Implementation 4=80-89% Implementation 5=90-100% Implementation	Quarterly progress reports submitted on the implementation of Operational Risk Management
Total=100%				

Signed and Accepted by the Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management

Madikana Thenga 



Date: 25/07/2024

Approved by the Municipal Manager

Makhosana Msezana 

Date: 21/07/2024

WCT
JK
KJ

SURNAMES		THENGA		NAME		MADIKANA	
POSITION		EXECUTIVE MANAGER: IEM		REPORT TO		MUNICIPAL MANAGER	
SALARY LEVEL		2		SALARY BAND		S56	
DEPARTMENT		INTEGRATED ENVIRONMENTAL		FINANCIAL YEAR		2024/2025	
Competency area to be developed	Specific development objectives (what to achieve)	Competency indicators (evidence of development)	Development activities (self-study, on-the-job, formal-dates and cost)	Support required (e.g. coaching)	Development review and assessment: Training provider	Sign-off review and assessment (Individual, Expert Trainer & Coach)	
Change Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change Vision & Strategy Process Design & Improvement Change Impact Monitoring & Evaluation Program & Project Planning and Implementation Service Delivery Management. Program & Project Monitoring & Evaluation mSCOA implementation aligned to the budgeting process. Standard for Infrastructure Procurement and Delivery Management Procurement Policy implementation. 	Portfolio of evidence as required by the Training institution.	Short course NQF 6	Training through a service provider	Service provider to be appointed.	Trainer	
Program and Project Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Program & Project Planning and Implementation Service Delivery Management. Program & Project Monitoring & Evaluation mSCOA implementation aligned to the budgeting process. Standard for Infrastructure Procurement and Delivery Management Procurement Policy implementation. 	Portfolio of evidence as required by the Training institution.	Short course NQF 6	Training through a service provider	Service provider to be appointed.	Trainer	
Financial Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> mSCOA implementation aligned to the budgeting process. Standard for Infrastructure Procurement and Delivery Management Procurement Policy implementation. 	Portfolio of evidence as required by the Training institution.	Short course NQF 6	Training through a service provider	Service provider to be appointed.	Trainer	
EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE			MM's SIGNATURE			DATE	3/07/2024

MMJ
KLV
KLV