

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

AS REPRESENTED BY THE

MUNICIPAL MANAGER:

MAANDA PRINGLE RAEDANI

AND

CHIEF AUDIT EXECUTIVE

GABRIEL RAMORWESI

THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MUNICIPALITY

FOR THE

FINANCIAL YEAR: 01 JULY 2019 TO 30 JUNE 2020

PT AZ QUIN

PERFOMANCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN

MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY herein represented by Maanda Pringle Raedani as the Municipal Manager (hereinafter referred to as the Employer or Supervisor)

And

Gabriel Ramorwesi as the **Chief Audit Executive: Internal Audit** (hereinafter referred to as the Employee).

WHEREBY IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in Terms of section 57(1)(a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as the "the Parties".
- 1.2 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved, and secure the commitment of the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure local government policy goals.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that there is compliance with Sections 57(4A), 57(4B), 57(C) and 57(5) of the Systems Act, Local Government: Municipal Performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers directly accountable to Municipal Manager, 2006 & Local Government: Competency Framework for Senior Managers, 2014.

2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement is to -

2.1 Comply with the Contract of Employment entered into between the parties;

2019/2020 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between the Municipal Manager:

Maanda Pringle Raedani and Chief Audit Executive: Gabriel Ramorwesi Page 2

AT AT ON

- 2.2 Specify objectives and targets established for the Employee and to communicate to the Employee the Employer's expectations of the Employee's performance expectations and accountabilities;
- 2.3 Specify accountabilities as set out in the Performance Management Plan (Annexure A);
- 2.4 Monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs;
- 2.5 Use the Performance Management Agreement and Performance Management Plan as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his job;
- 2.6 Appropriately reward the Employee in accordance with the Employer's performance management policy in the event of outstanding performance; and
- 2.7 Give effect to the Employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with the Employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 This Agreement will commence on the **01 JULY 2019** and will remain in force until **30 JUNE 2020**; where after a new Performance Management Agreement, Performance Management Plan and Personal Development Plan shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof.
- 3.2 The parties will review the provisions of the Agreement during February each year. The parties will conclude a new Performance Management Agreement and Performance Management Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later 31 July.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the Employee's contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above- mentioned period to determine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement the work environment alters (whether as a result of government or council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.

4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

- 4.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) sets out-
 - 4.1.1 The performance objectives and targets that must be met by the Employee; and

2019/2020 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between the Municipal Manager:

Maanda Pringle Raedani and Chief Audit Executive: Gabriel Ramorwesi

Page 3

MT &

- 4.1.2 The time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
- 4.2 The performance objectives and targets reflected in Annexure A are set by the Employer in consultation with the Employee and based on the Integrated Development Plan and the Budget of the Employer, and shall include key objectives; key performance indicators; target and weightings.
- 4.3 The key objectives describe the main tasks that need to be done. The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. The target dates describe the timeframe within which the work must be achieved. The weightings show the relative importance of the key objectives to each other.
- 4.4 The Employee's performance will, in addition, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's Integrated Development Plan.

5 PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.1 The Employee agrees to participate in the performance management system that the Employer adopts or introduces for the Employer, management and municipal staff of the Employer.
- 5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system will be to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the Employer, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult the Employee about the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.

6 THE EMPLOYEE AGREES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM THAT THE EMPLOYER ADOPTS

- 6.1 The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of the KPAs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the local government framework.
- 6.2 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the performance agreement.

2019/2020 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between the Municipal Manager:

Maanda Pringle Raedani and Chief Audit Executive: Gabriel Ramorwesi

Page 4

ANT

- 6.2.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas (KPAs) and the Competency Requirements (CRs)(Leading & Core Competencies) respectively.
- 6.2.2 Each area of assessment will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score.
- 6.2.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work will account for 80 weighting and CRs will account for 20 weighting of the final assessment.
- 6.3 The Employee's assessment will be based on his/her performance in terms of the outputs/ outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per attached Performance Plan (Annexure A), which are linked to the KPA's, and will constitute 80 weighting of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the employer and Employee:

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting%
Good Governance and Public Participation	95%
Municipal Transformation and Organizational Development	5%
TOTAL	100%

The Employee's assessment will be based on his/her performance in terms of the outputs/ outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per attached Performance Plan (Annexure A), which are linked to the KPA's, and will constitute 80 weighting of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the employer and Employee.

The six (6) core competencies that act as drivers to ensure that the leading competencies are executed at an optimal level

LEADING COMPETENCY	REQUIREMENTS	WEIGHTS %
Strategic Direction and Leadership	Impact and influenceInstitutional Performance	20%
	Management	
	 Strategic Planning and Management 	
	Organizational Awareness	
People Management	 Human Capital Planning & 	10%
	Development	
	 Diversity Management 	
	 Employee Relations Management 	
	 Negotiation and Dispute 	
	Management	
Program & Project	 Program & Project Planning and 	20%
Management	Implementation	
	Service Delivery Management	

2019/2020 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between the Municipal Manager:

Maanda Pringle Raedani and Chief Audit Executive: Gabriel Ramorwesi

Page 5

AT AZ Q

LEADING COMPETENCY	REQUIREMENTS	WEIGHTS %
	Program & Project Monitoring & Evaluation	
Financial Management	 Budget Planning & Execution Financial Strategy & Delivery Financial Reporting & Monitoring 	20%
Change Leadership	 Change Vision & Strategy Process Design & Improvement Change Impact Monitoring & Evaluation 	10%
Governance Leadership	Policy FormulationRisk and Compliance ManagementCooperative Governance	20%
COR	COMPETENCIES	<u></u>
	Moral Competence	
	lanning and Organizing	
	nalysis and Innovation	_
Knowledg	e and Information Management	
	Communication]
	esult and Quality Focus	
TOTAL		100

7 EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 7.1 The Performance Management Plan (Annexure A) to this Agreement sets out-
 - 7.1.1 The standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
 - 7.1.2 The intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 7.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force.
- 7.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 7.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP.
- 7.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:
 - 7.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance Plan:

Page 6

2019/2020 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between the Municipal Manager:

Maanda Pringle Raedani and Chief Audit Executive: Gabriel Ramorwesi

Page

- (a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA;
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each KPA;
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final KPA score.

7.5.2 Assessment of the CRs

- (a) Each CR should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met;
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each CR;
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CR score.

7.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

7.6 The assessment of the performance of the Employee will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and CRs:

Overall Performance	Rating	Performance Score
Unacceptable Performance		
Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage improvement.	1	59% and below
Performance Not Fully Effective		
Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job.	2	60 – 69 %
Performance Fully Effective		
Performance fully meets the standards expected in all		

2019/2020 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between the Municipal Manager:

Maanda Pringle Raedani and Chief Audit Executive: Gabriel Ramorwesi

Page

r'(

NT

Overall Performance	Rating	Performance Score
areas of the job	3	70 – 79 %
Performance Significantly Above Expectations /		
Exceptional Performance	4	80 – 89 %
Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job.		
Outstanding Performance		
Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level.	5	90 – 100 %

- 7.7 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established
 - i. Municipal Manager;
 - ii. Chairperson of the Performance Audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a Performance Audit Committee;
 - iii. Member of the Mayoral Committee; and
 - iv. Municipal Manager from another Municipality.

8 SCHEDULES FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

8.1 The performance of each Employee in relation to his or her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

Quarter		Date of the Review
First Quarter	July – September 2019	October 2019
Second Quarter	October – December 2019	January 2020
Third Quarter	January – March 2020	April 2020
Fourth Quarter	April – June 2020	n/a

8.2 The Employer shall keep a record of all formal and informal reviews, including the midyear review and annual assessment meetings.



- 8.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance.
- 8.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of Annexure "A" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.
- 8.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of Annexure "A" whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and/or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

9 DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Annexure B.

10. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 10.1 The Employer shall:-
- 10.1.1 Create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the Employee;
- 10.1.2 Provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
- 10.1.3 Work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that my impact on the performance of the Employee;
- 10.1.4 On the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him/ her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
- 10.1.5 Make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him/her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this agreement.

11 CONSULTATION

- 11.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the powers will have amongst others
 - 11.1.1 A direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's functions:
 - 11.1.2 Commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer; and

2019/2020 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between the Municipal Manager:

Maanda Pringle Raedani and Chief Audit Executive: Gabriel Ramorwesi Page 9

NT OR

- 11.1.3 A substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- 11.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 11.1 as soon as is practicable to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay.

MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES 12.

- The evaluation of the Employee's performance will form the basis for rewarding 12.1 outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.
- A performance bonus of 5% to 14% of the inclusive annual remuneration package may 12.2 be paid to the Employee in recognition of performance and the amount payable would be calculated on the following basis:

		Performance Rating	Bonus Amount
1.	0% - 59%	Performance Unacceptable	0% of total package
2.	60% - 69%	Performance Not Fully Effective	
3.	70% - 79%	Performance Fully Effective	Remuneration Progression
4.	80% - 89%	Performance Significantly Above Expectations/ Exceptional Performance	Remuneration Progression5% - 9% of Total Package
5.	90% - 100%	Outstanding Performance	Remuneration Progression10% - 14% of total package

- The Employee will be eligible for progression to the next higher remuneration package, 12.3 within the relevant remuneration band, after completion of at least twelve months (12) service at the current remuneration package on 30 June (end of financial year) subject to a fully effective performance assessment results and above.
- In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall -12.4
 - 12.4.1 provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
 - 12.4.2 after appropriate counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

2019/2020 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between the Municipal Manager:

Maanda Pringle Raedani and Chief Audit Executive: Gabriel Ramorwesi

13 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 13.1 Any disputes about the nature of the employee's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provide for, shall be mediated by
 - 13.1.1 The Executive Mayor within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or
 - 13.1.2 Any other person appointed by the Executive Mayor.
- 13.2 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 19.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

14 GENERAL

- 14.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.
- 14.2 Nothing in this agreement diminished the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.

2019/2020 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between the Municipal Manager:
Maanda Pringle Raedani and Chief Audit Executive: Gabriel Ramorwesi Page 11







NDP Building a professional, capable, citizen-focused public service (NDP Chapter 13) Strategic To ensure accountable governance within the municipality	rofessional, capable, citizen-focused public service (NDP Chapter 1 ccountable governance within the municipality	ble, citizen-focused public service (NDP Chapter 1	cused public service (NDP Chapter 1 he municipality	Chapter 1	<u>ଳ</u>					7 7 7 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 7	RAVALA SALIMATINA (A.A.)			
Goal DEPARTM	ENT: OFFICE	OF CHIEF AUDIT	EXECUTIVE	- 00	-									
ſ	G000 G0V	GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATIO	UBLIC PARTI	ICIPATION 95%										
SDBIP REF. NO	PLANNING LEVEL	MSCOA PROJECT	WARDS TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASELINE	ANNUAL TARGET	QUARTER	PROGRAMME/ PROJECT MILESTONE	RESPONSIBLE PERSON	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	EVIDENCE	
									5	7-		1=Performance evaluation conducted by 15 October 2019		
									02			2=Performance evaluation conducted by 8 October 2019		
KPI A/001	Output	Audit Committee Performance Evaluation	All Wards	No. of Audit committee Performance Evaluation conducted	2%	Number	-	τ-	33	ı	Chief Audit Executive	3=Performance evaluation conducted by 30 September 2019	Performance evaluation.	
												4=Performance evaluation conducted by 17 September 2019		
			10.00						25	1		S≂Performance evaluation conducted by 10 September 2019		
									٥	%96		1=80% to 89% of Approved Internal Audit Plan implemented by end of the Quarter		
									02	%96		2=90% to 92% of Approved Internal Audit Plan implemented by end of the	***************************************	
KPI A/002	Output	Internal Audit Plan	All Wards	% of Approved internal Audit Plan implemented	%09	%	%26	%96	ë	%96	Chief Audit Executive	Quarter 3=39% to 95% of Approved Internal Audit Plan implemented by end of the	Quarterly	
-		_	-	_	_		-	-	_	_		Guarter	-	




			Quarterly
4=96 to 97%% of Approved Internal Audit Plan implemented by end of the Quarter 5=98 to 100%% of Approved Internal Audit Plan implemented by end of the Quarter Quarter	1=80% to 89 % Ethics Activities implemented in line with the approved Ethics and Investigation plan by the end of the quarter	2=90% to 94% Ethics Activities implemented in line with the approved Ethics and Investigation plan by the end of the quarter	3=95 % Ethics Activities implemented in line with the approved Ethics and Investigation plan by the end of the quarter
			Chief Audit Executive
%56	%96	%56	%96
40	10	0 2	83
			%96
	\$\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\$}\$		New Target
			*
			10%
			% Ethics Activities implemented in line with the approved Ethics and Investigation plan
			All Wards
			Output
			KPI A/003



A FI

	····			
			Approved reviewed Ethics Ethics management Program	
4–96% to 97% % Ethics Activities implemented in line with the approved Ethics and Investigation plan by the end of the quarter 5= 97% to 100 % Ethics Activities implemented 5 days prior to the approved Ethics and Investigation plan quarterly	1=Ethics management Program reviewed 10 days prior to 30 June 2020	2=Ethics management Program reviewed 15 days prior to 30 June 2020	3=Ethics management Program reviewed 20 days prior to 30 June 2020 4=Ethics management Program reviewed 25 days prior to 30 June	5=Ethics management Program reviewed 30 days prior to 30 June 2020
			Chief Audit Executive	
%96 96%	l	1	1	4
\$	٩	Ω2	83	2
	-			
	New Target			
			Number	
			40%	
	No. of Ethics management Program reviewed			
	All Wards			
Corporate Ethics				
			Output	
		***************************************	KPI F/004	



N T N

T				I			
		Assessments conducted on the Audit General's Action Plans submitted					
1= 1 Assessment conducted on the Auditor Generals Action Plans	2= 2 Assessments conducted on the Auditor Generals Action Plans	3= 3 Assessments conducted on the Auditor Generals Action Plans by the end of the quarter 4= 3 Assessments conducted on the Auditor Generals Action Plans within 3 days prior to the end of the quarter	5= 3 Assessments conducted on the Auditor Generals Action Plans within 5 days prior to the end of the quarter	1=1 assessments conducted on internal Audit Action Plans	2=2 assessments conducted on Internal Audit Action Plans		
		Chief Audit Executive					
1	ı	-		-	-		
20	5	02					
		ю					
New Target							
		Number					
		% vi					
No. of assessments conducted on the Auditor general's Action Plans							
		All Wards		eradakakaran marakaran karan kar			
		Auditor general's Action Plans assessment					
		Output					
		KPI F/005	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e				



							42 X 7 X
Quarterly report	in the state of th		EVIDENCE			Completed grievance form	
3= 4 assessments conducted on Internal Audit Action Plans 4= 4 assessments Conducted on Internal Audit Action Plans within 4 working days after the end of the quarter	5– 4 assessments conducted on Internal Audit Action Plans within 3 working days after the end of the quarter		PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	1=15 days and longer taken to attend to employee grievances from date of receipt.	2=8 to 13 days taken to attend to employee grievances from date of receipt.	3=7 to 5 days taken to attend to employee grievances from date of receipt. 4=4 to 3 days taken to attend to employee grievances from date of receipt.	
Chief Audit Executive			RESPONSIBLE PERSON			Chief Audit Executive	1
	-		PROGRAMME/ PROJECT MILESTONE	10 days	10 days	10 days	
83	5 0		QUARTER	0.1	Q2	8	
4			ANNUAL TARGET			10 days	
New Target			BASELINE A			New target	-
Number			UNIT OF MEASURE			Days	-
%9		2%	GHTING			. %9	
No. of assessments conducted on Internal Audit Action Plans		KPA: MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT 5%	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR			Days taken to attend to employee grievances within the prescribed timelines (Step 2)	-
All Wards		ORGANISA.	WARDS TO BENEFIT			All Wards	_
Assessments conducted on Internal Audit Action Plans		SFORMATION AN	MSCOA V PROJECT E			Labour disputes	-
Output		CIPAL TRAN	PLANNING			Output	_
KPI F/006		KPA: MUNI	SDBIP REF.NO			KPI G/007	_



12

			Q4 10 days	5= 3 to 1 day taken to attend to employee grievances from date of receipt.
Total 100				
CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES	WEIGHTING	KPI	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	EVIDENCE
			1=75% implementation of assigned strategies for 2019/2020 as per resources allocated 2= 80% implementation of assigned strategies for 2019/2020 as per resources allocated	
	10	% implementation of the internal audit plan and ethics plan	3= 85% implementation of assigned strategies for 2019/2020 as per resources allocated. 4=90% implementation of assigned strategies for 2019/2020 as per resources allocated.	Implementation of the internal audit plan and ethics pian
Strategic Direction and Leadership			5=100% implementation of assigned strategies for 2019/2020 as per resources allocated.	
			1= 2 Performance Management Agreements signed after the 30/09/2019	
			2≂2 Performance Management Agreements signed after the 15/09/2019	Two(2) 2019/2020Performance
	6	No. of Individual Performance Management Agreements signed with Managers by 31 August 2019	3= 2 Performance Management Agreements signed by the 31/08/2019	Management Agreements signed with Managers
			4= 2 Performance Management Agreements signed by the 20/08/2019 5= 2 Performance Management	
			Agreements signed by the 10/08/2019	***************************************
!			2=PDPs developed by 310ctober 2019	Proof on the submission of PDPs for Senior Managers to Learning and
People Management and Empowerment	10	Development of Personal Development Plans (PDPs) for Managers	3=PDPs developed by 30 September 2019 4=PDPs developed by 15 September 2019 5=PDPs developed by 31 August 2019	Development Sub-division for implementation
			1≂ 59% and below implementation of the SDBIP	1
Program and Project Management	20	Service Delivery Management	2= 60-69% implementation of the SDBIP	SDBIP Quarterly Performance Reports from Monitoring and
			3= 70-79% implementation of the SDBIP 4= 80-89% implementation of the SDBIP 5= 90-100% implementation of the SDBIP	Evaluation Division
			1= 92% Spent	
Financial Management	20	% of funded capital budget spent at the end of financial year	2= 94% Spent	1 July 2019 to 30 June 2020 In
	A		3= 96% Sperit 4= 98% Sperit	year monitoring report
			5= 100% Spent	
	,,,		1= 2 meetings	
Change Leadership	-0	Communication to employees	2= 3 meetings	Agenda and Attendance Register of
			3= 4 meetings 4≠ 5 meetings	



	_		5= 6 meetings	
The second secon			1=59% and Below	
			2=60-69%	Revised and Developed Policies vs
	10	Inputs/comments provided for Revision and/or Development of Policies	3=70-79%	2010/2020 financial wearfor
			4=80-89%	Approval
			5=90-100%	
Governance Leadership			1=59% and Below	
			2=60-69%	
	10	% Implementation of the annual risk management implementation 3=70-79%	3=70-79%	Danate from M&E
			4=80-89%	
			5=90-100%	
Total=100				

Signed and Accepted by the Chief Aud/NExgoutive	Gabriel Ramorwesi	Date: 21/07/7 810	Approved by the Municipal Manager Mil	Maanda Pringle Raedani — H. Verel S	Date: 31/01/2019



PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (PDP)

SURNAME	RAMORWESI		NAME	GABRIEL	nii i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Willian to the control of the contro
POSITION	CAE	17.74.75	REPORT TO	MUNICIPAL MANAGER	ANAGER	######################################
SALARY LEVEL	2		SALARY BAND	S56	mental, also confront deliberated deliberates un ser	***************************************
DEPARTMENT	IA		FINANCIAL YEAR	2019/20	remission.	and the state of t
Competency area to be developed	Specific development objectives (what to achieve)	Competency indicators (evidence of development)	Development activities (self-study, on-the-job, formaldates and cost)	Support required (e.g. coaching)	Development review and assessment:	Sign-off review and assessment (Individual, Expert Trainer & Coach)
Change Management	 Change Vision & Strategy Process Design & Improvement Change Impact Monitoring & Evaluation 	Portfolio of evidence as required by the Training institution.	Accredited course	Training through a service provider	Brovider Service provider to be appointed.	Trainer
EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE			MM's SIGNATURE ~	A peda	DATE	2/07/2019

