### PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

### MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY AS REPRESENTED BY THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER: DAN MASHITISHO

### AND

EXECUTIVE MANAGER: SOCIAL SERVICES

SHIRLEY MATHEBULA

THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MUNICIPALITY

FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR: 1 JULY 2015 TO 30 JUNE 2016

once Riv

### PERFOMANCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

### **ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN**

MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY herein represented by Dan Mashitisho as the Municipal Manager (hereinafter referred to as the Employer or Supervisor)

### And

**Shirley Mathebula** as the **Executive Manager: Social Services** (hereinafter referred to as the Employee).

WHEREBY IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in Terms of section 57(1)(a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as the "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 58(1)(b) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved, and secure the commitment of the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure local government policy goals.
- 1.4 The parties wish to ensure that there is compliance with Sections 57(4A), 57(4B) and 57(5) of the Systems Act.

Page 2

LS3

mcx

### 2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement is to -

- 2.1 Comply with the provisions of Section 58(1)(b),(4A),(4B) and (5) of the Local Government Municipality Systems Act as well as the Contract of Employment entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 Specify objectives and targets established for the Employee and to communicate to the Employee the Employer's expectations of the Employee's performance expectations and accountabilities;
- 2.3 Specify accountabilities as set out in the Performance Management Plan (Annexure A);
- 2.4 Monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs;
- 2.5 Use the Performance Management Agreement and Performance Management Plan as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his job;
- 2.6 Appropriately reward the Employee in accordance with the Employer's performance management policy in the event of outstanding performance; and
- 2.7 Give effect to the Employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with the Employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

### 3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 This Agreement will commence on the **1 JULY 2015** and will remain in force until **30 JUNE 2016**; where after a new Performance Management Agreement, Performance Management Plan and Personal Development Plan shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof.
- 3.2 The parties will review the provisions of the Agreement during June each year. The parties will conclude a new Performance Management Agreement and Performance Management Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later 31 July.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the Employee's contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above- mentioned period to determine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement the work environment alters (whether as a result of government or council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the

Performance management agreement entered into by and between The Municipal Manager: Dan Mashitisho and Executive Manager: Social Services: Shirley Mathebula

Page 3

LS SO J. M

contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.

### 4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

- 4.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) sets out-
  - 4.1.1 The performance objectives and targets that must be met by the Employee; and
  - 4.1.2 The time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met
- 4.2 The performance objectives and targets reflected in Annexure A are set by the Employer in consultation with the Employee and based on the Integrated Development Plan and the Budget of the Employer, and shall include key objectives; key performance indicators; target and weightings.
- 4.3 The key objectives describe the main tasks that need to be done. The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. The target dates describe the timeframe in which the work must be achieved. The weightings show the relative importance of the key objectives to each other.
- The Employee's performance will, in addition, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's Integrated Development Plan.

### 5 PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- The Employee agrees to participate in the performance management system that the Employer adopts or introduces for the Employer, management and municipal staff of the Employer.
- 5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system will be to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the Employer, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult the Employee about the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.

Performance management agreement entered into by and between The Municipal Manager: Dan Mashitisho and Executive Manager: Social Services: Shirley Mathebula

Page 4

- The Employee agrees to participate in the performance management and development system that the Employer adopts:
  - The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of the KPAs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the local government framework.
  - 6.2 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the performance agreement.
    - 6.2.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas (KPAs) and the Core Competency Requirements (CCRs) respectively.
    - 6.2.2 Each area of assessment will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score.
    - 6.2.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work will account for 80 weighting and CCRs will account for 20 weighting of the final assessment.
  - 6.3 The Employee's assessment will be based on his/her performance in terms of the outputs/ outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per attached Performance Plan (Annexure A), which are linked to the KPA's, and will constitute 80 weighting of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the employer and Employee:

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Municipal Transformation and Organizational Development	15%
Infrastructure Development and Service Delivery	50%
Local Economic Development (LED)	15%
Good Governance and Public Participation	20%
TOTAL	100%

Performance management agreement entered into by and between The Municipal Manager: Dan Mashitisho and Executive Manager: Social Services: Shirley Mathebula

Page 5

The CCRs will make up the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score. CCRs that are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected ( $\sqrt{}$ ) from the list below as agreed to between the Employer and Employee:

CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENT	S FOR EMPLOY	res .
CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES (CMC)	1	WEIGHTS
Strategic Capability and Leadership	<b>1</b>	20%
Financial Management	<b>√</b>	20%
People Management and Empowerment	<b>√</b>	20%
Client Orientation and Customer Focus	<b>√</b>	20%
Accountability and Ethical Conduct	<b>√</b>	20%
TOTAL		100%

### 7 EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 7.1 The Performance Management Plan (Annexure A) to this Agreement sets out-
  - 7.1.1 The standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
  - 7.1.2 The intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 7.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force.
- 7.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 7.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP.
- 7.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:

Dan Mashitisho and Executive Manager: Social Services: Shirley Mathebula

- 7.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance Plan:
  - (a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA;
  - (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each KPA;

Performance management agreement entered into by and between The Municipal Manager:

..9

Page 6

\*

(c) The applicable assessment rating calculator must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final KPA score.

### 7.5.2 Assessment of the CCRs

- (a) Each CCR should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met:
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each CCR;
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CCR score.

### 7.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

7.6 The assessment of the performance of the Employee will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and CCRs:

Overall Performance	Rating	Performance Score
Unacceptable Performance		
Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage improvement.	1	59% and below
Performance Not Fully Effective		
Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job.	2	60 – 69 %
Performance Fully Effective		
Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job	3	70 – 79 %
Performance Significantly Above Expectations /		

Performance management agreement entered into by and between The Municipal Manager: Dan Mashitisho and Executive Manager: Social Services: Shirley Mathebula

Page 7 Kin

Overall Performance	Rating	Performance Score
Exceptional Performance  Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job.	4	80 – 89 %
Outstanding Performance  Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level.	5	90 – 100 %

- 7.7 For purposes of evaluating the performance of the Employee, an evaluation panel constituted by the following persons will be established –
- 7.7.1 Municipal Manager;
- 7.7.2 Chairperson of the Audit Committee or Performance Audit Committee;
- 7.7.3 Member of the Mayoral committee; and
- 7.7.4 Municipal Manager from another Municipality.

### 8 SCHEDULES FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

8.1 The performance of each Employee in relation to his/her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

Timeline	Date of the Review
July – September 2015	October
October – December 2015	January
January – March 2016	April
April – June 2016	July
	July – September 2015  October – December 2015  January – March 2016

- 8.2 The Employer shall keep a record of all formal and informal reviews, including the midyear review and annual assessment meetings.
- 8.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance.

Performance management agreement entered into by and between The Municipal Manager: Dan Mashitisho and Executive Manager: Social Services: Shirley Mathebula

Page 8 W

- 8.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of Annexure "A" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.
- 8.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of Annexure "A" whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and/or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

### 9 DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Annexure B.

### 10. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 10.1 The Employer shall:-
- 10.1.1 Create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the Employee:
- 10.1.2 Provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
- 10.1.3 Work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that my impact on the performance of the Employee;
- 10.1.4 On the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him/ her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
- 10.1.5 Make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him/her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this agreement.

### 11 CONSULTATION

- 11.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the powers will have amongst others –
- 11.1.1 A direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's functions;
- 11.1.2 Commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer; and
- 11.1.3 A substantial financial effect on the Employer.

Performance management agreement entered into by and between The Municipal Manager: Dan Mashitisho and Executive Manager: Social Services: Shirley Mathebula

med Page 9 My

11.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 11.1 as soon as is practicable to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay.

### 12. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 12.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.
- 12.2 A performance bonus of 5% to 14% of the inclusive annual remuneration package may be paid to the Employee in recognition of outstanding performance.
- 12.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall -
- 12.3.1 Provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
- 12.3.2 After appropriate counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

### 13 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 13.1 Any disputes about the nature of the employee's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provide for, shall be mediated by –
- 13.1.1 The Executive Mayor within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or
- 13.1.2 Any other person appointed by the Executive Mayor.
- 13.2 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 19.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

Performance management agreement entered into by and between The Municipal Manager: Dan Mashitisho and Executive Manager: Social Services: Shirley Mathebula

Page 10

9

LS

### 14 GENERAL

- 14.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.
- 14.2 Nothing in this agreement diminished the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.

Thus done and signed at KRUSERSAROn this the	-8day of2015
AS WITNESSES:	Abr.
2. Dlub	EXECUTIVE MANAGER: SOCIAL SERVICES
AS WITNESSES:	
1. Herdiki	MUNICIPAL MANAGER
2. America	

INCUMBENT: SHIRLEY MATHEBULA POST TITLE: EXECUTIVE MANAGER: SOCIAL SERVICES

Four (4) 2015/ 2016 Performance Management Agreements signed Quarterly implementation reports Attendance registers on the quarterly reviews conducted with Managers Evidence Quality Quantity %02 Target 30/08/2015 Time frame Baseline Information 2014/ 2015 Performance Management Agreements New Target signed by Managers 3=70-79% of Council Resolutions 2=60-69% of Council Resolutions 4=80-89% of Council Resolutions 2=4 Performance agreements of Managers signed by 30/09/2015 Performance Measurements 1=4 Performance agreements of 3=4 Performance agreements of Managers signed by 30/08/2015 4=4 Performance agreements of 5=4 Performance agreements of Managers signed by 20/08/2015 Managers signed by 25/08/2015 1=59% and below of Council Managers signed after the 30/09/2015 Resolutions Implemented 5=90-100% of Council Implemented mplemented Implemented Performance Indicator % Implementation of Mayoral and/ or Council Individual Performance Management System (PMS) for managers aligned to the SDBIP, developed and implemented Resolutions Weighting 15 Key Performance Transformation and Municipal Organisational Development Area

Resolutions Implemented

J. J. S. M. P. S. M.

INCUMBENT: SHIRLEY MATHEBULA
POST TITLE: EXECUTIVE MANAGER: SOCIAL SERVICES

Koy Borformanco					_	Target		
Area	Weighting	Performance Indicator	Performance Measurements	Information	Time frame	Quality	Quality Quantity	Evidence
		∯ pg	1=59% and Below projects implementation					
			2=60-92% projects implementation	83%			93%	2015/ 2016 Quarterly Performance Assessment Reports from M&E
		( I arget and baseline will be confirmed after the evaluation	3=93% projects implementation					Division
		of the 4th Quarter SDBIP	4=94% projects implementation					
		(Aepoil)	5=95% projects implementation					
2. Infrastructure			1= 100% submission made 30					
Development and	20		and more days after project					
Service Delivery		- 100	completion					Capitalisation certificates submitted
		he	2= 100% submission made 25					capitalisation certificates submitted
			days after project completion					Docords of docotions, and proced
		infrastructure projects	3= 100% submission made 15	100%			100%	recolds of dollations (where
		completed/ property and/or	completed/ property and/or days after project completion	2			200	Proof of regulest/email regulest from
		assets acquired	4= 100% submission made 10					Budget & Treasury
			days after project completion					
			5= 100% submission made within					
			5 days after project completion					
		% Total value of all	1=29 and Below					
			2=30-39%					
		7	3=40-49%	2000				
		service providers (Depends on	4=50-59 %	%87			40%	Procurement report(s)
3. Local Economic	15		%69-09=9					
			1=1 Cooperative					
		No. of cooperatives to be	2=2 Cooperatives					O. 12424V. 2016/ 2016 S.DBIB
		d/or	3=5 Cooperatives	2			2	Quarterly 2013/ 2016 SUBIR
		supported	4=6 Cooperatives					2000
			5=7 Cooperatives					

INCUMBENT: SHIRLEY MATHEBULA
POST TITLE: EXECUTIVE MANAGER: SOCIAL SERVICES

Josephannon V				:	F	Target		
Area	Weighting	Performance Indicator	Performance Measurements	Information	Time frame	_	Quantity	Evidence
		3- 3- 3- 3- 70	1=59% and Below					
		% of mitigation actions	2=60-79%					
		Operational Disk Degisters	3=80%					
		(Target and Baseline will be	4=82%	78%			80%	Quarterly reports submitted
		confirmed after the		2			2	sumitted to M&E
		assessment of the 4th Quarter S=84% Risk Register)	5=84%					
			1=Report submitted on or before 31 Jan 2016					
		G 10 and G 14 and G 1	2=Report submitted on or before					
		Departmental training	2-Dans 2-1		2			
		needs identified and	3-Report submitted on or before 31 Dec. 2015	New Target	31 Dec. 2015	-		raining needs identification report submitted to HCD&T
		DOI:	4=Report submitted on or before					
			15 Dec. 2015					
			5=Report submitted on or before					
4 Good			1=59% and Below implemented			t	l	
_		% compliance to OHS Act	2-60 69% implemented					coitoteconclusi as lega toildead
Public Participation		through implementation of	3=70-79% implemented	New Target	1	-12	7002	plan on the OHS Audit submitted to
		OHS Audit and/ or	2-10-10 Miliplemented	200				pian on the Olio Addit submitted to
		checklist recommendations	recommendations 4=80-89 % implemented					SHO
			5=90-100 % implemented					
			1=17 days and more from the					
			date of receipt					
		of goodst augs and old	2=15 days from the date of receipt					Reports from IA     Written responses to IA
		respond to internal audit	3=10 days from the date of receipt	10			10	(submission date and date submitted)
	20		4=7 days from the date of receipt					Acknowledgement of receipt by IA
			5=5 days from the date of receipt					
			1=59% and Below					
		% participation in assigned	2=60-69%					
		Supply Chain Management	3=70-79%	%02			%02	Maintenance of the control of the co
		process activities						Minutes and attendnce register
			5=90-100 %					



INCUMBENT: SHIRLEY MATHEBULA POST TITLE: EXECUTIVE MANAGER: SOCIAL SERVICES

Key Performance	100		8	- Contraction of the contraction		Target		
Area	Weighting	Performance Indicator	Performance Measurements	Information	Time frame	Quality	Quality Quantity	Evidence
			1=Less than 4 reports submitted					
			2=4 reports submitted after the due date					
		$\overline{\Box}$	3=4 reports submitted on the due date	4			4	4 Quarterly Reports submitted to
		projects inipremented	4=all 4 reports submitted one to four days before the due date					90
4. Good Governance and			5=all 4 reports submitted five days and more before the due date					ā
Public Participation			1=100% response submitted 5 days and more after receipt					
		% response towards the 2=100% response Auditor General's queries days after receipt	2=100% response submitted 4 days after receipt					Request/ Queries/
		and/ or requests and/ or recommedations	3=100% response submitted 3 days after receipt	100%			100%	letters of response (AG's gueries
		(If any for the year under review)	4=100% response submitted 2 days after receipt					response implementation plan) submitted to Budget & Treasury
			5=100% response submitted 1 day after receipt					0
TOTAL	100%							

INCUMBENT: SHIRLEY MATHEBULA
POST TITLE: EXECUTIVE MANAGER: SOCIAL SERVICES

	, titure	Qualitity
Target	C. C.	Guality
	Time	frame
Dacilose	Information	
	Performance Measurements	
	Performance Indicator	
	Weighting	
Key Performance	Area	

Evidence

TOTAL								
EVIDENCE	Approved 2015/ 2016 Departmental Strategic Implementation Plan and/ or Reports to EXCO on Other Strategic Plans and/ or Policies/ SOPs Developed/ Revised	Variance Report	Budget Report	Register on employees registered with professional bodies or affiliated with professional associations submitted to HCD&T	Submitted reports on filling of vacancies with suitably qualified staff	Report on Grievance and Disciplinary cases	Minutes of Departmental meetings/ Written communique	Meetings convened with Organised Labour
KPI	Development/Revision of Strategies/Policies/SOPs	% Variance on operational budget spent	% Capital budget spent	% of regulated employees registered with relevant professional bodies or affilliated with professional associations	% funded vacancies filled	Grievance and Disciplinary cases attended to	Communication to employees	No. of meetings convened with Organised Labour
WEIGHTI NG	20	20			50			
CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES (CMC)	Strategic Capability and Leadership	Financial Management			People Management			

O A September of the se

## MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY PERFORMANCE PLAN 2015/ 2016

INCUMBENT: SHIRLEY MATHEBULA
POST TITLE: EXECUTIVE MANAGER: SOCIAL SERVICES

						Tarnet		
Key Performance Area	Weighting	Performance Indicator	Performance Measurements	Baseline Information	Time	Quality	Quality Quantity	Evidence
Customer Orientation and	20	External service and information requests responded to within 10 working days from date of receipt	Copies of letters of requests & Written responses to external stakeholders Written "Thank You" Letters from members of the community					
Custormer Focus	İ	Internal information requests responded to within 5 working days from date of receipt	Information requests and responses (with date of requests and date of response)					
Accountability and Ethical Conduct	20	% Implementation of the Anti-Fraud and Corruption Policy	Disclosure and Declaration of Interest Register and or Possible and or Disciplinary cases raddor and or and or Amanagement meetings where standing item on Anti-Fraud and Corruption Policy is discussed					
Total: 100%								

Ps: The signed agreements will be enhanced after automation of PMS (ePMS) to enable progress in monitoring and reporting

Signed and accepted by Executive Manager: Social Services: Shirley Mathebula

Date: 28 [7] 25.5

ate:

Signed by the Municipal Manager: Dan Mashitisho

Date: 30 / 07/2011

# PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (PDP)

L	i i		LMAIN			
SURNAME	MAIHEBULA		NAIME	SHIRLET		
POSITION	EXECUTIVE MANAC	EXECUTIVE MANAGER: SOCIAL SERVICES	REPORT TO	MUNICIPAL MANAGER	NAGER	
SALARY LEVEL	2		SALARY BAND	SECTION 56		
DEPARTMENT	SOCIAL SERVICES		FINANCIAL YEAR	2015/2016		
Competency area to be developed	Specific development objectives (what to achieve)	Competency indicators (evidence of development)	Development activities (self-study, on-the-job, formal-dates and cost)	Support required (e.g. coaching)	Development review and assessment: Training provider	Sign-off review and assessment (Individual, Expert Trainer & Coach)
Personal mastery	Assertiveness, problem solving & influencing	Being able to assertively influence decisions	POE	N/A	N/A	N/A
Strategic & business mastery	Planning, organising, finance & delegation	Able to prioritise activities and delegate to team	POE	N/A	N/A	N/A
Team mastery	Managing teams performance & conflict	The ability to enable opposing parties to move past impasse towards the successful resolution of an issue through the application of dispute resolution techniques	One on one meetings	Training on Performance Management	POE	Incomplete
EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE		· , , , ,	MM'S SIGNATURE		DATE	

